

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE GONZALEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-01204-CDB

ORDER ON STIPULATIONS SETTING  
BRIEFING SCHEDULE

ORDER VACATING SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE

(Docs. 14, 15)

On October 7, 2024, Plaintiff Jose Gonzalez (“Plaintiff”) initiated this action with the filing of a class action complaint against Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. (“Defendant”). (Doc. 1). Defendant executed its waiver of the service of summons on October 28, 2024, and must file its responsive pleading by December 24, 2024. (Doc. 4). An initial scheduling conference is set for January 8, 2025, at 9:30 AM before the undersigned. (Doc. 3).

Pending before the Court is the parties’ stipulations to set a briefing and hearing schedule on Defendant’s anticipated motion to dismiss and to continue the scheduling conference and related deadlines pending determination of the motion. (Docs. 14, 15). The parties represent that granting the relief requested will preserve the resources of the parties and the Court while Defendant’s motion to dismiss is pending. (*Id.*).

///

///

1 In light of the parties' stipulated representations and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY  
2 ORDERED:

- 3 1. Defendant shall file any motion to dismiss on or before **December 24, 2024**;
- 4 2. Plaintiff shall file an opposition to any motion to dismiss by Defendant on or  
5 before **January 21, 2025**;
- 6 3. Defendant shall file any reply to Plaintiff's opposition on or before **February 7,**  
7 **2025**;
- 8 4. Defendant shall notice any motion to dismiss for hearing according to Local Rule  
9 230(b); and
- 10 5. The January 8, 2025, scheduling conference (Doc. 2) is HEREBY VACATED to  
11 be reset as appropriate following disposition of Defendant's anticipated motion  
12 to dismiss.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: **December 12, 2024**

15   
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE